

COMPLEXITY OF STRONG APPROXIMATION ON THE SPHERE

NASER T SARDARI

Abstract

We show that the task of accepting a number that is representable as a sum of $d \geq 2$ squares subjected to given congruence conditions is NP-complete by assuming some widely-believed arithmetic conjectures. On the other hand, we develop and implement a deterministic polynomial time algorithm that represents a number as a sum of 4 squares subjected to restricted congruence conditions, by assuming a polynomial time algorithm for factoring integers and a Cramer type conjecture. As an application, we develop and implement a deterministic polynomial time algorithm for finding the shortest possible path between two given diagonal vertices of LPS Ramanujan graphs of bounded degree k under the same assumptions. We also extend our algorithm to a probabilistic algorithm that finds in polynomial time a short path bounded by $3 \log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log \log(|G|))$ between any pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graph G .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	1
1.2. Statement of results	3
1.3. Outline of the paper	7
1.4. Acknowledgements	8
2. Reduction to quadratic forms	8
3. NP-Completeness	10
4. Algorithm	12
4.1. Algorithm for the diagonal decomposition	16
5. Analysis of the algorithm	18
5.1. Distance of diagonal vertices from the identity	18
6. Numerical results	21
6.1. Diagonal approximation with V-gates	21
6.2. Lower bound on the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs	23
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. Fix $k \geq 3$. A k -regular graph G is a Ramanujan graph if all nontrivial eigenvalues of G are bounded by

$$2\sqrt{k-1}.$$

Ramanujan graphs have short diameter. The trivial lower bound for the diameter of a k -regular graph is $\log_{k-1} |G|$. The diameter of Ramanujan graphs are bounded by $2 \log_{k-1} |G|$, twice as big as the trivial lower bound; see [LPS88]. Moreover, almost all pairs of vertices in any Ramanujan graph G have a distance less than

$\log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log \log |G|)$ that is the optimal bound; see [Tal15] and [LP16]. The first explicit constructions of Ramanujan graphs are given by Margulis and independently by Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak known as LPS Ramanujan graphs [LPS88]. In our previous work [Tal15], we studied the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs that is closely related to the diophantine properties of quadratic forms in four variables [Sar15a]. We showed that there exists an infinite family of LPS Ramanujan graphs such that the diameter is greater than

$$4/3 \log_{k-1} |G|.$$

Furthermore, by our conjecture on the optimal strong approximation for quadratic form, see [Sar15a], the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs is asymptotic to

$$4/3 \log_{k-1} |G|.$$

In our joint work with Rivin [RS17], we study the statistical behavior of the eigenvalues and diameter of the random Cayley graphs on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ as q goes to infinity. In particular we numerically check that the diameter of a random Cayley graph on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ with k generators is optimal and is asymptotic to

$$\log_{k-1} |G|,$$

as q goes to infinity.

In this paper, we consider the problem of navigating the LPS Ramanujan graphs and giving bound on the algorithmic distance of pairs of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs. We essentially prove that finding the shortest possible path between a generic pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs is NP-complete by assuming GRH and Cramer conjecture or unconditionally by a randomized reduction algorithm. On the other hand, we develop and implement a deterministic $\mathrm{poly}(\log)$ algorithm that returns a shortest path between any pair of diagonal vertices on the LPS Ramanujan graphs under a fast algorithm for factoring integers and a Cramer type conjecture on the distribution of integers representable as a sum of two squares; see Conjecture 1.4. We analyze the distance of a pair of diagonal vertices. We show that under Conjecture 1.4 for a typical pair of diagonal vertices the length of the minimal path is $\log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log \log(|G|))$ while there are pairs of diagonals with distance at least $4/3 \log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(1)$; see Theorem(1.9) and the remark after that. These constants are predicted by our conjecture on the optimal strong approximation for quadratic forms with 4 variables [Sar15a, Conjecture 1.9]. The archimedean analog of our question has been discussed in Sarnak's letter to Scott Aaronson and Andy Pollington; see [Sar15b]. In that context, the problem of approximating points on the sphere by words in LPS generators is considered. The optimal exponent $4/3$ was first conjectured by Sarnak in his letter and our work is the p -adic version of that analysis.

Finally, we develop a probabilistic algorithm that writes every element of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ as a product of bounded number of LPS generators and three generic diagonal matrices. As a result for a given pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs, there is a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm that finds a path with length bounded by $3 \log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log \log(|G|))$ between them. This shows that the polynomial time diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs is less than the triple of the trivial lower bound $\log_{k-1} |G|$.

1.2. Statement of results. Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak in [LPS88] essentially reduced the task of finding the shortest possible path between pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs to the task of representing a number as a sum of 4 squares subjected to given congruence conditions. Since $X_{p,q}$ is a Cayley graph it suffices to navigate from the identity vertex to any other vertex of the graph. In the following theorem that is essentially due to Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [LPS88], we give a correspondence between non-backtracking path of length h from the identity vertex to vertex v_h of LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{p,q}$ and the primitive elements of integral quaternion Hamiltonian (the gcd of the coordinates is one) of square norm p^h up to units of $H(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 1.1 (Due to Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [LPS88]). Let $X_{p,q}$ be LPS Ramanujan graph associated to primes p and q where $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then there is a correspondence between non-backtracking paths $(v_0, \dots, v_h)$ of length h from $v_0 = id$ to v_h in $X_{p,q}$ and the set of primitive integral solutions to the following diophantine equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 &= p^h, \\ x_1 + x_2i + x_3j + x_4k &\equiv \lambda v_h \pmod{2q} \text{ for some } \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, finding the shortest path between v_0 and v_h is reduced to finding the smallest exponent h such that diophantine equation (1.1) has an integral solution (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) . Note that solution (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) to the minimal exponent h is necessarily primitive otherwise by dividing by p^2 , we find a smaller path of length $(h - 2)$.

The question of representing a prime as a sum of two squares in polynomial time has been discussed in [Sch85] and [RS85]. Schoof in his remarkable work developed a deterministic polynomial time algorithm that represents a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ as a sum of two squares by $O((\log p)^6)$ operations. Rabin and Shallit [RS85] developed a randomized algorithm for this task with expected number $O(\log p)$ of operations. They extended their algorithm to a randomized polynomial time algorithm that represents any integer as a sum four squares. On the other hand, in a private communication, Sarnak showed us that the problem of representing a number as a sum of two squares subjected to inequalities on the coordinates is NP-complete under a randomized reduction algorithm. The details of this theorem will appear in his joint work with Parzanchevski [PS17]. We prove the non-archimedean version of Sarnak's theorem. We show that the task of accepting a number that is representable as a sum of $d \geq 2$ squares subjected to given congruence conditions is NP-complete by assuming GRH and Cramer's conjecture or unconditionally by a randomized reduction algorithm. First, we prove this for $d = 2$ in Theorem (1.2) and then by induction on d we generalize this theorem for every $d \geq 2$ in Corollary (1.3).

Theorem 1.2. The problem of accepting (N, q, a, b) such that the diophantine equation

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 + Y^2 &= N, \\ X &\equiv a \text{ and } Y \equiv b \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

has integral solution $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is NP-complete by assuming GRH and Cramer's conjecture or unconditionally by a randomized reduction algorithm.

Corollary 1.3. Let $d \geq 2$. The problem of accepting $(N, q, a_1, \dots, a_d)$ such that the diophantine equation

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1^2 + \dots + X_d^2 &= N, \\ X_1 &\equiv a_1 \dots X_d \equiv a_d \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

has integral solution $(X_1, \dots, X_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is NP-complete by assuming GRH and Cramer's conjecture or unconditionally by a randomized reduction algorithm.

Although the task of representing a number as a sum of 4 squares subjected to given congruence conditions is NP-complete, if two coordinates of the congruence conditions are zero, we develop and implement a poly(log) algorithm for this task in Theorem (1.6) by assuming a poly(log) algorithm for factoring integers and Conjecture (1.4). Conjecture (1.4) is a Cramer type conjecture about the distribution of numbers representable as a sum of two squares. Ross and Selinger developed and implemented [RS14] an algorithm that approximates any rotation in the z -axis in unitary group $SU(2)$ by an optimal word in a variant of LPS generator set by assuming one can factor quickly and some heuristics on the distribution of integers representable as sum of two squares that is essentially formulated in Conjecture 1.4. Our algorithm is the p -adic version of the Ross and Selinger's algorithm. Both Ross-Selinger and our algorithm start with searching for integral lattice points inside a convex region that is defined by a simple system of quadratic inequalities in a fixed dimension. If the convex region is defined by a system of linear inequalities in a fixed dimension then the general result of Lenstra [Len83] implies this search is polynomially solvable. We use a variant of Lenstra's argument in the proof of Theorem (1.6). An important feature of our algorithm is that it has been implemented and it runs and terminates quickly. We give our numerical results in section 6. We proceed by stating our conjecture that is the analogue of Cramer's conjecture for the distribution of numbers representable as a sum of two squares.

Conjecture 1.4. Let $Q(x, y) := Ax^2 + 2Bxy + Cy^2 + Dx + Ey + F$ be a binary quadratic form with integral coefficients where $\max(|A|, |B|, |C|, |E|, |F|) \leq M$ and $Disc(Q) := B^2 - AC = q^2$ for some prime $q = 4k + 1$. Assume that $Q(x, y)$ is positive inside a box $(x, y) \in [-M_1, M_1] \times [-M_2, M_2]$ where $M_1, M_2 \geq 1$. There exists an exponent $1/2 \leq \gamma$ with a constant C_γ independent of Q, q and the box $[-M_1, M_1] \times [-M_2, M_2]$ such that if

$$C_\gamma \log(M)^\gamma \leq M_1 M_2,$$

then Q represents a sum of two squares inside the box $[-M_1, M_1] \times [-M_2, M_2]$.

Remark 1.5. By our numerical results, we expect that Conjecture 1.4 holds for $\gamma = 1/2 + \epsilon$ and any $0 < \epsilon$. This exponent is consistent with Hooley's remarkable work on the intervals between numbers that are representable as a sum of two squares [Hoo94].

Theorem 1.6. Let q be a prime number, $(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $N = O(q^A) \in \mathbb{Z}$ are given such that

$$a^2 + b^2 \equiv N \pmod{q}.$$

Assume Conjecture 1.4 and a polynomial time algorithm for factoring integers then we develop and implement a deterministic $\text{poly}(\log(q))$ algorithm that decides the existence of an integral solution $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ to the following diophantine equation

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 &= N, \\ \text{such that } x &\equiv a, y \equiv b, \\ \text{and } z &\equiv w \equiv 0 \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

and if exists, then finds a solution in $\text{poly}(\log(q))$ times.

Remark 1.7. It is possible to generalize our polynomial algorithm for the sum of $d \geq 2$ squares if two coordinates of the congruence conditions are zero. Corollary (1.3) shows that the complexity of optimal strong approximation for a generic point on the sphere is NP-complete while Theorem (1.6) shows that there are co-dimension 2 sub-quadric of the sphere such that the complexity of the optimal strong approximation is polynomial in time. It is remarkable that the complexity of the optimal strong approximation is related to the algebraic properties of points on the sphere.

In Theorem (1.1), we reduced the task of finding the shortest path in LPS Ramanujan graph to representing an integer by sum of four squares subjected to given congruence conditions $(a, b, c, d) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^4$. The points $(a, b, c, d) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^4$ with the last two coordinate zero mod q are associated to the diagonal vertices in LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{p,q}$. In Corollary 1.8, we develop and implement a deterministic polynomial time algorithm for finding the shortest possible path between two given diagonal vertices of LPS Ramanujan graphs G of bounded degree k by assuming a polynomial time algorithm for factoring and Conjecture (1.4).

Corollary 1.8. Fix $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ a prime number. Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime number and a quadratic residue mod p . Let $X_{p,q}$ be the LPS Ramanujan graph associated to p and q . Then we develop and implement a deterministic $\text{Poly}(\log(q))$ algorithm that finds the shortest possible path between any pair of diagonal vertices in $X_{p,q}$ by assuming a polynomial algorithm for factoring integers and Conjecture 1.4.

Next, we associate a co-volume q sub-lattice of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to each diagonal vertex of LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{p,q}$. By this correspondence, we relate the distance of a diagonal vertex from the identity (that is a diophantine exponent by Theorem (1.1)) to the shortest vector of the associated lattice. Let $v \in X_{p,q}$ be a diagonal vertex associated to $\begin{bmatrix} a+ib & 0 \\ 0 & a-ib \end{bmatrix} \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ where $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Let L_v denote the integral lattice of co-volume q defined by the following congruence equation on $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$ax + by \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

In the following theorem, we relate the graph distance of v from the identity in LPS graph $X_{p,q}$ to the length of the shortest vector of L_v .

Theorem 1.9. Assume Conjecture 1.4 holds for $0 < \gamma, C_\gamma$. Let $v \in X_{p,q}$ be a diagonal vertex with associated lattice $L_v \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ of co-volume q that is defined above. Let $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be an almost orthogonal basis for the lattice L_v where u_1 has the shortest length in L_v . If $|u_2| \geq C_\gamma \log(2q)^\gamma |u_1|$ then the distance of the diagonal vertex v from the identity is less than

$$(1.4) \quad \lceil 4 \log_p(q) - 2 \log_p |u_1| + \log_p(89) \rceil.$$

Otherwise, $|u_1| \leq |u_2| \leq C_\gamma \log(2q)^\gamma |u_1|$ then the distance of v from the identity vertex is less than

$$(1.5) \quad \lceil 3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + \log_p(C_\gamma) + \log_p(89) \rceil.$$

Remark 1.10. In section 6, we numerically check that inequality (1.4) is in fact sharp. We conjecture that the following asymptotic formula holds for the distance of almost all diagonal elements $v \in X_{p,q}$ from the identity vertex if $|u_2| \geq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_1|$

$$4 \log_p(q) - 2 \log_p |u_1| + O(1).$$

In particular, the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs is bigger than

$$4/3 \log_5 |X_{5,q}| + O(1).$$

Moreover, we use this description of distance of diagonal elements in our algorithm in Theorem (1.12) in order to avoid diagonal elements with long distance from the identity.

We study the density of distance of diagonal vertex v from the identity. We normalize the associated co-volume q lattice L_v so that they have co-volume 1 and these normalized lattices are parametrized by the Hecke orbit points in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Lattices of co-volume q are associated to the Hecke orbit points of T_q apply to $i \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. The vertices with large distance from the identity vertex in LPS Ramanujan graphs are associated to Hecke orbit points that are high in the cusp of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Since Hecke points are equidistributed in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ with respect to the hyperbolic measure $\frac{1}{y^2}(dx^2 + dy^2)$, the distance of a typical diagonal matrix from the identity vertex is $\log(|X_{p,q}|) + O(\log \log(|X_{p,q}|))$ and there are diagonal points with distance $4/3 \log(|X_{p,q}|) + O(\log \log(|X_{p,q}|))$ from the identity that are associated to Hecke orbit points $x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$ with y as big as q . Moreover, the number of Hecke orbit points that are close to the cups are decaying with density y^{-1} that comes from the hyperbolic measure on upper half plane. In the following theorem, we prove the number of diagonal vertices with distance greater than the optimal distance $\log_p(|X_{p,q}|) + O(\log_p \log(|X_{p,q}|))$ are exponentially decaying with an exponent that is optimal.

Corollary 1.11. Assume Conjecture 1.4 holds for $0 < \gamma, C_\gamma$. Let p, q be prime numbers as above and h an integer such that

$$\lceil 3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + \log_p(C_\gamma) + \log_p(89) \rceil < h.$$

Then the number of diagonal vertices with graph distance at least h from the identity in LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{p,q}$ is less than

$$89q^4/p^{(h-1)}.$$

In particular, for large q the distance of diagonal vertices from the identity is bounded by

$$\lceil 4/3 \log_p(|X_{p,q}|) + \log_p(89) \rceil.$$

Finally, we develop a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm that represents every element of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ as a product of bounded number of LPS generators and three typical diagonal matrices. By Theorem (1.11), the distance of a typical diagonal element from the identity is less than $\log_p |X_{p,q}| + O(\log_p \log(|X_{p,q}|))$. As a result for a given pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs, there is a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm that finds a short path with length bounded by $3 \log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log \log(|G|))$ between them. This shows that there is a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm that represents every element of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ by a word in LPS generator set S_p of length bounded by

$$9 \log_p(q) + O(\log_p \log(q)).$$

Theorem 1.12. Assume Conjecture 1.4 holds for $0 < \gamma, C_\gamma$. Fix $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ a prime number and let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime number. There is a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm in $\log(q)$ that finds a path between any pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{p,q}$ of size at most

$$9 \log_p(q) + 3\gamma \log_p \log(q) + \log_p(h) + O(1),$$

where the expected value of h is less than 1.

1.3. Outline of the paper. In section (2), we give a proof of Theorem (1.1). In the proof, we explain how finding the shortest possible path in the LPS Ramanujan graphs is reduced to representing an integer as a sum of four squares subjected to given congruence conditions. This links the best exponent for strong approximation for quadratic forms in four variables to the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs. In section (3), we prove Theorem (1.2) and Corollary (1.3) where we show that the task of accepting integers that are representable as a sum of $d \geq 2$ squares subjected to given congruence conditions is NP-complete. We reduce this task to the sub-sum problem which is well-known to be NP-complete. In section (4), we describe our algorithm for finding the shortest possible path between diagonal vertices and prove Theorem (1.6). Two main applications of our algorithm are computing the strong discrete log of diagonal matrices of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to LPS generators and finding the shortest possible path between diagonal vertices of LPS Ramanujan graphs which is Corollary (1.8). We give a proof for Theorem (1.12) where we develop a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm for decomposing a general element of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ as a product of bounded number of LPS generators and three diagonal matrices where their distance from the identity is bounded by $\log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log_{k-1} \log(|G|))$. As a result for any pair of

vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs we find a short path with length bounded by $3 \log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log_{k-1} \log(|G|))$. In section (5), we analyze our algorithm. We give a proof of Theorem (1.9) which relates the distance of a diagonal matrix from the identity in the LPS ramanujan graphs to the length of the shortest vector in an associated integral lattice of co-volume q in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. By this correspondence, we give a proof of Corollary (1.11) which shows that the number of diagonal vertices with distance at least $(1 + \alpha) \log(|G|)$ from the identity is less than $O(|G|^{1/3-\alpha})$. In section 6, we give our numerical results from our algorithm. We give strong numerical results that shows Theorem (1.9) is sharp by studying the graph distance of diagonal elements in $X_{5,q}$ (V-gates). In particular, we numerically check that the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs is bigger than

$$4/3 \log_5 |X_{5,q}| + O(1).$$

1.4. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my Ph.D. advisor Peter Sarnak for several insightful and inspiring conversations during the course of this work. Furthermore, I am very grateful for his letter to me which deals with the Archimedean version of this problem and for pointing out the papers of Adleman and Manders [MA76] as well as Ross and Selinger [RS14] which turned out to be very useful in proving the NP-completeness results and developing the algorithm. I would like to thanks Professor Peter Selinger for providing a package and also a library of various algorithms, written in Haskell which were very helpful in the implementation of my algorithm.

2. REDUCTION TO QUADRATIC FORMS

In this section, we reduce the task of finding the shortest possible path between a pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs to the task of representing a number as a sum of 4 squares subjected to given congruence conditions. We begin by giving an explicit description of LPS Ramanujan graphs in terms of Cayley graphs of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$; see [LPS88] for a comprehensive treatment.

2.1 (Proof of Theorem (1.1)). Let $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the integral Hamiltonian quaternions

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}) := \{x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k \mid x_t \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq t \leq 3\}, i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1,$$

where $ij = -ji = k$ etc. Let $\alpha := x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z})$. Denote $\bar{\alpha} := x_0 - x_1i - x_2j - x_3k$ and $\text{Norm}(\alpha) := \alpha\bar{\alpha} = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$. Let p be a prime number such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We consider the set of $\alpha := x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Norm}(\alpha) := x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = p,$$

and $x_0 > 0$ is odd and x_1, x_2, x_3 are even numbers. There are exactly $(p+1)/2$ pairs $\alpha, \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ with such properties. We call this set LPS generator set associated to prime p and denote this set by

$$S_p := \{\alpha_1, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \alpha_{(p+1)/2}, \bar{\alpha}_{(p+1)/2}\}.$$

Let

$$\Lambda'_p := \{\beta \in \mathbb{H} : \text{Norm}(\beta) = p^k \text{ and } \beta \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}.$$

Λ'_p is closed under multiplication and if we identify β_1 and β_2 in Λ'_p whenever $\pm p^{t_1}\beta_1 = p^{t_2}\beta_2$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ then the classes so obtained form a group with

$$[\beta_1][\beta_2] = [\beta_1\beta_2] \text{ and } [\beta][\bar{\beta}] = [1].$$

By [LPS88, Corollary 3.2], this group which we denote by Λ_p is free on $[\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_{(p+1)/2}]$. The Cayley graph of Λ_p with respect to LPS generator set S_p is therefore an infinite $p+1$ -regular tree. LPS Ramanujan graphs are associated to the quotient of this infinite $p+1$ -regular tree by appropriate arithmetic subgroups that we describe in what follows. Let

$$\Lambda_p(q) := \{[\beta] \in \Lambda_p : \beta = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k \equiv x_0 \pmod{2q}\}.$$

$\Lambda_p(q)$ is a normal subgroup of Λ_p . By [LPS88, Proposition 3.3], if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime number and q is a quadratic residue mod p then

$$\Lambda_p/\Lambda_p(q) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}).$$

The above isomorphism is defined by sending $[\alpha] \in \Lambda_p$, to the following matrix $\tilde{\alpha}$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$:

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\alpha} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Norm}(\alpha)}} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + ix_1 & x_2 + ix_3 \\ -x_2 + ix_3 & x_0 - ix_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where i and $\sqrt{p}$ are representatives of square roots of -1 and $p \pmod{q}$. This identifies the finite $p+1$ -regular graph $\Lambda_p/\Lambda_p(q)$ by the Cayley graph of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to $\tilde{S}_p$ (the image of S_p under the above map) that are LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{p,q}$. Let $(v_0, \dots, v_h)$ be a non-backtracking path of length h from $v_0 = id$ to v_h on $X_{p,q}$ that means that for each $1 \leq i \leq h$

$$\tilde{s}_i v_{i-1} = v_i,$$

where $\tilde{s}_i \in \tilde{S}_p$ and $\tilde{s}_i \neq \tilde{s}_{i+1}^{-1}$. We lift this path to a path on the infinite $p+1$ -regular tree Λ_p , namely:

$$(1, s_1, s_2 s_1, \dots, s_h \dots s_1).$$

Let $s_h \dots s_1 = a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ then

$$(2.3) \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = p^h.$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad a + bi + cj + dk \equiv v_h \pmod{q}.$$

Note that navigation on the infinite tree Λ_p to the root is trivial. Since, we choose the unique edge that reduces the norm of the point at each time. Therefore, finding a shortest path from v_0 to v_h is equivalent to finding a lifting of v_h on the infinite tree Λ_p that is closest to the root among all other lifts. In other words, we want to find the smallest h such that there exists an integral solution (a, b, c, d) to equation (2.3) subjected to the congruence condition (2.4) mod q . This completes the proof of Theorem (1.1). $\square$

By our conjecture on the optimal strong approximation for quadratic forms in 4 variables [Sar15a, Conjecture 1.9], there exists an integral lift if $p^h \gg_{\epsilon} q^{4+\epsilon}$ and 4 is the optimal exponent. This conjecture implies that the diameter of the LPS-Ramanujan graphs is asymptotic to

$$4/3 \log_{k-1} |G|.$$

In the next section, we show that if we replace p^h by an integer N then the task of deciding the existence of an integral solution (a, b, c, d) to equation (2.3) subjected to the congruence condition (2.4) mod q is NP-complete. On the other hand, we develop a ploylog algorithm for this task in Theorem (1.6) if $c \equiv d \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

3. NP-COMPLETENESS

In this section we show that the task of accepting integers that are representable as a sum of two or more squares subjected to given congruence conditions is NP-complete by assuming GRH and Cramer's conjecture or unconditionally by a randomized reduction algorithm. We reduce this task to the sub-sum problem which is well-known to be NP-complete.

Question 3.1 (sub-sum problem). Given $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\log(t)$ and $\log(t_i)$ at most k^A , are there $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \epsilon_j t_j = t?$$

3.2 (Proof of Theorem (1.2)). We reduce this task to the subsum problem. First, we find a prime $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad q > 2k \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (t_i, t).$$

We can find q by running the primality test algorithm of Agrawal, Kayal and Saxena [AKS04] on the consecutive integers $X, X+1, \dots$ where $X = k \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (t_i, t) + 1$. By Cramer's conjecture this search terminates in $O(\log(X)^2)$ operations. Alternatively, this task can be done unconditionally by a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm. We pick a random number between $[X, 2X]$ and check by a the primality test algorithm if the number is prime. The expected time of the operations is $O(\log(X))$. After finding prime number q , let

$$(3.3) \quad s := (q-1)t + \sum_{i=1}^k t_i,$$

then by a simple change of variables solving equation (3.1) is equivalent to solving

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j t_j = s,$$

where $\xi_j \in \{1, q\}$. Since $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})[x]/(x^2+1)$ is isomorphic to the finite field with q^2 elements. Next, we give a deterministic polynomial time algorithm under GRH that returns a finite subset $H \subset (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})[x]/(x^2+1)$ of size $O((\log q)^{8+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ such that H contains at least a generator for the cyclic multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Alternatively, this task can be done unconditionally by a probabilistic polynomial algorithm. Because, the density of primitive roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ is $\varphi(q^2-1)/(q^2-1)$ where φ is the Euler's phi function. The ratio $\varphi(q^2-1)/(q^2-1)$ is well-known to be $O(\log \log q)$. Wang [Wan61] showed that, assuming GRH, there exists a positive integer $x = O((\log q)^8)$ such that x is a primitive roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. Shoup generalized and improved this result to finite fields and in particular he showed that there is a primitive roots of unity

$g = a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}[i]$ for the finite field with q^2 elements such that a and b has an integral lift of size $O(\log(q)^{4+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ [Sho92, Theorem 1.2]. By Shoup result, we find deterministically under *GRH* a subset H of size $O((\log q)^{8+\epsilon})$ that contains a primitive root of unity. Next, we take an element $g \in H$ and let

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} a_j + ib_j &:= g^{t_j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k, \\ a + ib &:= g^s, \end{aligned}$$

where t_j are given in the subsum problem (3.1), s is defined by equation (3.3) and $a_j, b_j, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. Next, we find gaussian primes $\pi_j \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \pi_j \equiv a_j + ib_j \pmod{q}.$$

Again this is possible deterministically by Cramer's conjecture. Alternatively, by choosing a random integral point $(h_1, h_2) \in [X, 2X] \times [X, 2X]$ and check by a polynomial time primality test algorithm if $(h_1q + a_j)^2 + (h_2q + b_j)^2$ is prime in $\mathbb{Z}$. Set $p_i := |\pi_j|^2$ that is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}$ and define

$$(3.7) \quad N := \prod_{j=1}^k p_j.$$

Consider the following diophantine equation

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} X^2 + Y^2 &= N, \\ X &\equiv a \text{ and } Y \equiv b \pmod{q}, \end{aligned}$$

where a, b are defined in equation (3.5) and N in (3.7). Our theorem is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $g \in H$ is a primitive roots of unity. An integral solution (X, Y) to the diophantine equation (3.8) gives a solution $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ to the equation (3.4) in polynomial time.

3.4 (Proof of Lemma (3.3)). Assume that the equation (3.8) has an integral solution (A, B) . We take the gcd of $A + Bi$ with gaussian primes π_j for $1 \leq j \leq k$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and obtain the following factorization

$$(3.9) \quad A + iB := \pm i \prod_{j=1}^k \pi_j^{\epsilon_j}$$

where $\epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\pi_j^0 = \pi_j$ and $\pi_j^1 = \bar{\pi}$ (the complex conjugate of π_j). We consider the above equation mod q . Then

$$A + iB \equiv \pm i \prod_{j=1}^k \pi_j^{\epsilon_j} \pmod{q}.$$

By the congruence condition (3.8) $A + iB \equiv a + ib \pmod{q}$ and also congruence condition (3.6) $\pi_j^0 \equiv a_j + ib_j$ and $\pi_j^1 \equiv a_j - ib_j \pmod{q}$. Moreover by our careful choices of $a + ib$ and $a_j + ib_j$ in equation (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad g^s \equiv \prod_{j=1}^k g^{\xi_j t_j}$$

where $\xi_j = 1$ if $\epsilon_j = 0$ and $\xi_j = q$ if $\epsilon_j = 1$. Therefore we obtain the following congruence equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j t_j \equiv s \pmod{q(q-1)}.$$

By the inequality (3.2) and the definition of s in equation (3.3). We deduce that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j t_j = s.$$

This completes the proof of our lemma.

Our theorem is a consequence of this lemma. Since for every $g \in H$ we apply Lemma 3.3 and check if $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ is a solution to (3.4). Since the size of H is $O(\log(q)^{8+\epsilon})$ and it contains at least a primitive roots of unity, so we will find a solution to the equation (3.4) in polynomial time. This concludes our theorem. $\square$

Finally, we give a proof for Corollary (1.3).

3.5 (Proof of Corollary (1.3)). We prove this corollary by induction on d . The base case $d = 2$ is proved in Theorem (1.2). It suffices to reduce the task with d variables in Corollary (1.3) to a similar task with $d+1$ variables in polynomial time. The task with d variables is to accept $(N, q, a_1, \dots, a_d)$ such that the following diophantine equation has a solution

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1^2 + \dots + X_d^2 &= N, \\ X_1 &\equiv a_1 \dots X_d \equiv a_d \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed by taking auxiliary parameters $0 \leq t, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $N < q^{2t}$, $m \leq (1/3)q^{2t+1}$ and $\gcd(m, q) = 1$. We consider the following diophantine equation

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1^2 + \dots + X_d^2 + X_{d+1}^2 &= m^2 + q^{2t}N, \\ X_1 &\equiv q^t a_1, \dots, X_d \equiv a_d q^t \text{ and } X_{d+1} \equiv m \pmod{q^{t+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $(X_1, \dots, X_{d+1})$ is a solution to the above equation. Then

$$X_{d+1} \equiv \pm m \pmod{q^{2t+1}}.$$

Since $m \leq (1/3)q^{2t+1}$ then either $X_{d+1} = \pm m$ or $|X_{d+1}| \geq (2/3)q^{2t+1}$. If $|X_{d+1}| \geq (2/3)q^{2t+1}$, since $m \leq (1/3)q^{2t+1}$ and $N < q^{2t}$ then

$$X_{d+1}^2 > m^2 + q^{2t}N.$$

This contradicts with equation (3.12). This shows that $X_{d+1} = \pm m$. Therefore the integral solutions to the diophantine equation (3.12) are of the form $(q^t X_1, \dots, q^t X_d, \pm m)$ such that $(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ is a solution to the equation 3.11. By our induction assumption, this problem is NP-complete and we conclude our Corollary. $\square$

4. ALGORITHM

In this section, we describe our algorithm for finding the shortest possible path between diagonal vertices and prove Theorem (1.6) and Theorem (1.12). Our method is the p -adic analogue of the Ross and Selinger method [RS14] that is motivated by the work of Lauter, Petit and Quisquater [PLQ08]. Recall that in section (2), we reduced finding the shortest path between diagonal elements to the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let q be a prime number, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ and $m = O(q^A) \in \mathbb{Z}$ given such that

$$a^2 + b^2 \equiv m \pmod{q}.$$

Assume Conjecture 1.4 then we develop and implement a deterministic $\text{poly}(\log(q))$ algorithm that decides the existence of an integral solution $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ to the following diophantine equation

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 &= m, \\ \text{such that } x &\equiv a, y \equiv b, \\ \text{and } z &\equiv w \equiv 0 \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

and if exists then finds a solution in $\text{poly}(\log(q))$ times.

4.2 (Proof of Theorem (4.1)). If $(a, b) = (0, 0) \pmod{q}$ then we write $m = hq^2 + r$ in $O(\log(q)^A)$ operations by Euclidean algorithm. If $r \neq 0$ then equation (4.1) does not have any integral solution and the algorithm terminates. Otherwise, $r = 0$ then it suffices to write h as a sum of 4 squares. We consider the quadratic form $F(x, y) := h - x^2 - y^2$. By Conjecture (1.4) there exists $|(x, y)| \leq C_\gamma \log(h)^\gamma$ such that $F(x, y)$ is a sum of two squares. We order pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ by their distance from the origin and check if $F(x, y) := h - x^2 - y^2$ is representable as a sum of two squares (.e.g we factor $F(x, y) := h - x^2 - y^2$ by a $\text{poly}(\log)$ algorithm and then use Schoof algorithm [Sch85] in order to represent each prime by a sum of two squares.) If we succeed to write

$$F(x, y) := h - x^2 - y^2 = z^2 + w^2,$$

then,

$$(qx)^2 + (qy)^2 + (qz)^2 + (qw)^2 = m,$$

which is an integral solution to equation (4.1) where $(a, b) = (0, 0)$.

We proceed by assuming that $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^2$. The integral solutions (x, y, z, w) to the equation (4.1) satisfy the following congruence conditions:

$$x \equiv a, y \equiv b, \text{ and } z \equiv w \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

We change the variables to $(t_1, \dots, t_4)$ where:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= t_1q + a, \\ y &= t_2q + b, \\ z &= t_3q, \\ w &= t_4q. \end{aligned}$$

where $|a|, |b| \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$. It suffices to find an integral solution (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) to the following diophantine equation:

$$(4.2) \quad (t_1q + a)^2 + (t_2q + b)^2 + (t_3q)^2 + (t_4q)^2 = m.$$

Since $a^2 + b^2 \equiv m \pmod{q}$, then $k := \frac{m - a^2 - b^2}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We separate the variables in the above equation and write

$$(4.3) \quad t_3^2 + t_4^2 = \frac{k - 2at_1 - 2bt_2}{q} - t_1^2 - t_2^2.$$

Let (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) be a solution to equation (4.3) then $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfies the following two necessary conditions:

Condition (1): $\frac{k-2at_1-2bt_2}{q} - t_1^2 - t_2^2 \geq 0$,

Condition (2): $k - 2at_1 - 2bt_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

We call a pair (t_1, t_2) a potential candidate if (t_1, t_2) satisfies the above conditions. Our strategy is to order all the potential candidates (t_1, t_2) by their distance from the origin and represent $\frac{k-2at_1-2bt_2}{q} - t_1^2 - t_2^2$ if possible as a sum of two squares by a poly(log) algorithm (e.g a polynomial time algorithm for factoring and Schoof algorithm [Sch85]). We follow this strategy and show that either we have a few potential candidates $O(\log(m)^\gamma)$ such that $\frac{k-2at_1-2bt_2}{q} - t_1^2 - t_2^2$ is not a sum of two squares or by assuming Conjecture (1.4) we find a solution to equation (4.3) in $O(\text{poly}(\log(q)))$ time. We proceed by analyzing the conditions and listing the potential candidates in the order of their distance from the origin.

Condition (1) is the equation of the points inside the disk centered at $-(a/q, b/q)$ with radius $\sqrt{m}/q$ in the (t_1, t_2) plane:

$$(t_1 + a/q)^2 + (t_2 + b/q)^2 \leq m/q^2.$$

Since $|a/q|, |b/q| < 1/2$ then by triangle inequality

$$t_1^2 + t_2^2 < (\sqrt{m}/q + 1)^2.$$

Condition (2) requires that (t_1, t_2) satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad 2at_1 + 2bt_2 \equiv k \pmod{q}.$$

Since $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, without loss of generality we assume that $a \neq 0$. Then $2a$ has an inverse mod q and $(k(2a)^{-1}, 0)$ is a solution for the congruence equation (4.4). We lift $(k(2a)^{-1}, 0) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^2$ to the integral vector $(c, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$c \equiv k(2a)^{-1} \pmod{q} \text{ and } |c| < (q-1)/2.$$

The integral solutions of equation (4.4) are the translation of the integral solutions of the following homogenous equation by vector $(c, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$

$$(4.5) \quad 2at_1 + 2bt_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

The integral solutions to equation (4.5) form a lattice of co-volume q that is spanned by the integral basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$ where

$$v_1 := (q, 0), \text{ and } v_2 := (-2b(2a)^{-1}, 1).$$

We apply Gauss reduction algorithm on basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$ in order to find an almost orthogonal basis $\{u_1, u_2\}$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle, \\ |u_1| &< |u_2|, \\ \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle &\leq (1/2)\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle := \{xv_1 + yv_2 : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ is the dot product of u_1 and u_2 . We assume that vector u_1 is the shortest vector in lattice $2at_1 + 2bt_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. We can also assume that if we identify u_1 and u_2 as complex numbers then $u_2/u_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ lies in Gauss fundamental domain for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ where $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half plane. By equidistribution of the Hecke orbit points in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ we deduce that $u_2/u_1 \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ are equidistributed according to the hyperbolic measure

and therefore, for most choices of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, $|u_1|$ and $|u_2|$ have the same size up to a bounded constant. Next, we find the shortest integral vector that satisfies equation (4.4) and denote this vector by u_0 . We write $(c, 0)$ as a linear combination of u_1 and u_2 with coefficients in $(1/q)\mathbb{Z}$

$$(0, c) = (h_1 + r_1/q)u_1 + (h_2 + r_2/q)u_2,$$

where $0 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq q-1$. Note that u_0 is one of the following 4 vectors

$$(r_1/q - \{0, 1\})u_1 + (r_2/q - \{0, 1\})u_2.$$

By triangle inequality,

$$|u_0| < |u_2|.$$

We parametrize the integral solutions (t_1, t_2) of equation (4.4) by:

$$(4.7) \quad (t_1, t_2) = u_0 + x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2,$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $u_0 = (u_{0,1}, u_{0,2})$, $u_1 = (u_{1,1}, u_{1,2})$ and $u_2 = (u_{2,1}, u_{2,2})$. Since u_1 and u_2 are solutions to equation (4.5) and u_0 is a solution to equation (4.4) then

$$2au_{0,1} + 2bu_{0,2} = k - qu'_0,$$

$$2au_{1,1} + 2bu_{1,2} = qu'_1,$$

$$2au_{2,1} + 2bu_{2,2} = qu'_2.$$

We evaluate $\frac{k-2at_1-2bt_2}{q} - t_1^2 - t_2^2$ in terms of a quadratic form in (x_1, x_2)

$$\frac{k-2at_1-2bt_2}{q} - t_1^2 - t_2^2 = F(x_1, x_2),$$

where

$$(4.8) \quad F(x_1, x_2) := u'_0 - x_1 u'_1 - x_2 u'_2 - (u_{0,1} + x_1 u_{1,1} + x_2 u_{2,1})^2 - (u_{0,2} + x_1 u_{1,2} + x_2 u_{2,2})^2.$$

This is a quadratic form with discriminant q^2 . Next, we list all integral points (x_1, x_2) such that $F(x_1, x_2)$ is positive. In the following lemma, we show that there exists a box $C := [-A, A] \times [-B, B]$ around the origin such that: $F(x_1, x_2)$ is positive inside C and negative outside $5C = [-5A, 5A] \times [-5B, 5B]$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $F(x_1, x_2)$ be as above. Let $A := \sqrt{m}/(2q|u_1|)$, $B := \sqrt{m}/(2q|u_2|) - 1$ and

$$(4.9) \quad C := [-A, A] \times [-B, B].$$

Then $F(x_1, x_2)$ is positive for every $(x_1, x_2) \in C$ and negative outside $5C$.

4.4. Recall that $(t_1, t_2) = u_0 + x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2$ and

$$F(x_1, x_2) = m/q^2 - (t_1 + a/q)^2 - (t_2 + b/q)^2,$$

where $|a/q| < 1/2$ and $|b/q| < 1/2$. If $|(t_1, t_2)| < (\sqrt{m}/q) - 1$ then $F(x_1, x_2) > 0$. By triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |(t_1, t_2)| &= |u_0 + x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2| \\ &\leq |u_0| + |x_1||u_1| + |x_2||u_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|u_0| < |u_2|$ then

$$|(t_1, t_2)| \leq |x_1||u_1| + (1 + |x_2|)|u_2|.$$

Let A , B and C be as in (4.9). Then for every $(x_1, x_2) \in [-A, A] \times [-B, B]$

$$F(x_1, x_2) > 0.$$

By almost orthogonality conditions (4.6), we obtain the following lower bound

$$(|x_1|/2)|u_1| + (|x_2|/2 - 1)|u_2| \leq |u_0 + x_1u_1 + x_2u_2|.$$

The above inequality implies that $F(x_1, x_2)$ is negative if $x_1 \geq 2\sqrt{m}/(q|u_1|)$ or $x_2 \geq 2\sqrt{m}/(q|u_2|) + 2$. In particular if $(x_1, x_2) \notin 5C$ where C is defined in (4.9) then $F(x_1, x_2)$ is negative. This concludes our lemma. $\square$

We proceed to conclude our theorem. By Lemma (4.3), $F(x_1, x_2)$ is positive inside box C that is defined in (4.9). We list $(x_1, x_2) \in [-A, A] \times [-B, B]$ in the order of their distance from the origin. Then if possible we represents $F(x_1, x_2)$ as a sum of two squares by a poly(log) algorithm (.e.g a polynomial algorithm for factoring integers and Schoof algorithm [Sch85]). If we succeed then we find an integral solution to equation (4.1) and we are done. The coefficients of $F(x_1, x_2)$ are bounded by $M := \max(m/q, q^2)$. By assumption of our theorem $m = O(q^A)$. Hence, $\log(M) = O(\log(q))$. If the size of box C that is $A \times B > C_\gamma \log(M)^\gamma$ then by Conjecture 1.4 we find a pair (x_1, x_2) such that $F(x_1, x_2)$ is a sum of two squares in less than $O(\log(q)^{O(1)})$ steps. Otherwise, $A \times B < C_\gamma \log(M)^\gamma$. By Lemma (4.3), $F(x_1, x_2)$ is negative outside box $5C$ and since the size of this box is $O(\log(q)^\gamma)$ we check all points inside box $5C$ in order to represent $F(x_1, x_2)$ as a sum of two squares. If we succeed to represent $F(x_1, x_2)$ as a sum of two squares then we find an integral solution to equation (4.1). Otherwise, equation (4.1) does not have any integral solution. This concludes our theorem. $\square$

4.1. Algorithm for the diagonal decomposition. In this section, we explain our probabilistic method for decomposing a general element of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ as a product of a random word in LPS generators with a bounded expected word length and three diagonal matrices where their distance from the identity is bounded by

$$3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + O(1).$$

As a result for any pair of vertices in LPS Ramanujan graphs we find a short path with length bounded by $3 \log_{k-1}(|G|) + O(\log_{k-1} \log(|G|))$. We improve upon Lauter, Petit and Quisquater's work [PLQ08] that by a probabilistic method decomposes a general element of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ into a product of a bounded number of LPS generators and four diagonal matrices.

4.5 (Proof Theorem (1.12)). Let $v := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*.$$

The isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow A + iB + jC + kD,$$

where $A = (a + d)/2$, $B = (a - d)/2i$, $C = (b - c)/2$ and $D = (b + c)/2i$. We work with $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. In the following lemma, we give a polynomial time algorithm that decomposes $A + iB + jC + kD \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ into product of $(1 + ix)(1 + jy)(1 + kz)$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ and if it is possible.

Lemma 4.6. Let $A + iB + jC + kD \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. There exists a polynomial time algorithm that accepts $A + iB + jC + kD \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ that are decomposable into a product of $(1 + ix)(1 + jy)(1 + kz)$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if $A + iB + jC + kD \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ is decomposable then the algorithm returns the product $(1 + ix)(1 + jy)(1 + kz)$ in polynomial time.

4.7 (Proof of Lemma (4.6)). Assume that

$$A + iB + jC + kD = (1 + ix)(1 + jy)(1 + kz),$$

for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ where the multiplication is defined in $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. We multiply both side with $(1 - kz)$ from the right and since $1 + z^2 \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ is identity element in $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad (A + iB + jC + kD)(1 - kz) = (1 + ix)(1 + jy).$$

We expand both side of the above identity and obtain

$$(A + Dz) + (B - Cz)i + (C + Bz)j + (D - Az)k = 1 + xi + yj + xyk.$$

The above equation holds in $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if

$$(A + Dz)(D - Az) = (B - Cz)(C + Bz).$$

Hence,

$$(AD - BC)z^2 + (A^2 + B^2 - C^2 - D^2)z + (BC - AD) = 0.$$

This is a quadratic equation in z over $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. This equation has solution if and only if the discriminant $(A^2 + B^2 - C^2 - D^2)^2 + 4(AD - BC)^2$ is a quadratic residue mod q . If the discriminant is a quadratic residue mod q then we use the polynomial time algorithm for taking square roots in finite fields (e.g [AMM77] or [Sha73]). Once we find z then it is easy to derive x and y uniquely from equation (4.10). This concludes our lemma. $\square$

We proceed and give our probabilistic algorithm for decomposing a given element $A + iB + jC + kD \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ as a product of bounded number of LPS generators and three diagonal vertices where their distance from the identity is bounded by

$$3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + O(1).$$

First we introduce some notations. Let L_x denote the lattice of co-volume q associated to the element $(1 + ix) \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ and defined by the following equation

$$t_1 + xt_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

Let $\{u_{1,x}, u_{2,x}\}$ be an almost orthogonal basis for lattice L_x . If $|u_{1,x}| \leq |u_{2,x}| \leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,x}|$ then by Theorem 1.9 the graph distance of $(1 + xi)$ from the identity is bounded by

$$3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + O(1).$$

Note that the probability that $|u_{1,x}| \leq |u_{2,x}| \leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,x}|$ is $1 - O(\log(q)^{-\delta})$ for uniform $x \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. We sketch our algorithm in the following:

Step 0: Set $h = 0$ and sort the set of words in LPS generators by their word length and then by a lexicographic order on words to obtain an ordered set $\{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots\}$.

Step 1: Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{input} &= s_h(A + iB + jC + kD). \\ h &= h + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Go to Step 2.

Step 2: Check by the algorithm in Lemma (4.6) if

$$\text{input} = (1 + ix)(1 + jy)(1 + kz).$$

Note that this is possible if the discriminant is a quadratic residue mod q . This event has probability $1/2$. If False go to Step 1. If True go to Step 3

Step 3: Compute an almost orthogonal basis $\{u_{1,x}, u_{2,x}\}, \{u_{1,y}, u_{2,y}\}$ and $\{u_{1,z}, u_{2,z}\}$ for the associated lattice L_x, L_y, L_z . Check if

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{2,x}| &\leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,x}|, \\ |u_{2,y}| &\leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,y}|, \\ |u_{2,z}| &\leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,z}|. \end{aligned}$$

This event has probability $1 - O(\log(q)^{-\delta})$. If False go to Step 1. If true go to Step 4.

Step 4: Use algorithm in Corollary (1.8) and write $(1 + xi)$, $(1 + yj)$ and $(1 + kz)$ in the shortest possible word in LPS generators. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{2,x}| &\leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,x}|, \\ |u_{2,y}| &\leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,y}|, \\ |u_{2,z}| &\leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_{1,z}|, \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem (1.9) the graph distance of them is less than

$$3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + O(1).$$

Therefore, $A + iB + jC + kD = s_h^{-1}(1 + ix)(1 + jy)(1 + kz)$. Note that $A + iB + jC + kD$ is represented by a word of length

$$9 \log_p(q) + 3\gamma \log_p \log(q) + \log_p(|s_h|) + O(1).$$

where $|s_h|$ is the word length of the s_h and it is easy to check that its expected value of is less than one.

This concludes our theorem.

5. ANALYSIS OF THE ALGORITHM

5.1. Distance of diagonal vertices from the identity. In this section, we relate the distance of a diagonal vertex $v \in X_{p,q}$ from the identity to the length of the shortest vector of its associated lattice L_v . Recall from the introduction that for every diagonal vertex $\begin{bmatrix} a + ib & 0 \\ 0 & a - ib \end{bmatrix} \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$, we defined L_v , the integral lattice of co-volume q defined by the following congruence equation on $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$ax + by \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

Next, we give a proof of Theorem (1.9).

5.1 (Proof of Theorem (1.9)). Let $v := \begin{bmatrix} a+ib & 0 \\ 0 & a-ib \end{bmatrix} \in X_{p,q}$ be a diagonal vertex with the associated lattice $L_v \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be an almost orthogonal basis for L_v where u_1 has the shortest length in L_v . We proceed by proving the first part of the theorem. Assume that $|u_2| \geq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_1|$. Let

$$(5.1) \quad h := \lceil 4 \log_p(q) - 2 \log_p |u_1| + \log_p(89) \rceil.$$

We show that there exists a path from v to the identity of length h . By our assumption p is a quadratic residue mod q . We denote the square root of p mod q by $\sqrt{p}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= a\sqrt{p}^h \pmod{2q}, \\ B &:= b\sqrt{p}^h \pmod{2q}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem (1.1), there exists a path of length h from v to the identity if and only if the following diophantine equation has an integral solution (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)

$$(5.2) \quad (2t_1q + A)^2 + (2t_2q + B)^2 + (2t_3q)^2 + (2t_4q)^2 = p^h.$$

The above diophantine equation is the special case of equation (4.2) in previous section. In Theorem (4.1), we developed a polynomial time algorithm for finding its integral solutions (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) . We follow the steps in our algorithm after equation (4.2). We define the associated binary quadratic form $F(x_1, x_2)$ as defined in equation (4.8). By Lemma (4.3), $F(x_1, x_2)$ is positive inside the box $[-A, A] \times [-B, B]$ where $A := \sqrt{p^h}/(4q|u_1|)$, $B := \sqrt{p^h}/(4q|u_2|) - 1$. By the definition of h in equation (5.1), we derive

$$(5.3) \quad p^h \geq \frac{89q^4}{|u_1|^2}.$$

By the above inequality

$$(5.4) \quad B \geq \frac{\sqrt{89}q^2}{4q|u_1||u_2|} - 1.$$

Since $\{u_1, u_2\}$ is an almost orthogonal basis for a co-volume q lattice then the angle between u_1 and u_2 is between $\pi/3$ and $2\pi/3$, Hence

$$(5.5) \quad |u_1||u_2| \leq 2q/\sqrt{3}.$$

We plug in the above bound on $|u_1||u_2|$ in inequality (5.4) and derive

$$B \geq \frac{\sqrt{3 * 89}}{8} - 1 > 1.$$

Next, we give a lower bound on A . Note that

$$A \geq \frac{|u_2|}{|u_1|} B.$$

By our assumption $\frac{|u_2|}{|u_1|} \geq C_\gamma \log(2q)^\gamma$, Hence

$$A \geq C_\gamma \log(2q)^\gamma B.$$

Since $B > 1$ then,

$$AB \geq C_\gamma \log(2q)^\gamma.$$

Note that A and B satisfies the condition of Conjecture (1.4). Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, our algorithm returns an integral solution (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) which gives rise

to a path of length h from v to the identity. This concludes the first part of our theorem.

Next, we assume that $|u_1| \leq |u_2| \leq C_\gamma \log(2q)^\gamma |u_1|$. Let

$$(5.6) \quad k := \lceil 3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + \log_p(C_\gamma) + \log_p(89) \rceil.$$

We follow the same analysis as in the first part of the theorem. First, we give a lower bound on $B := \sqrt{p^k}/(4q|u_2|) - 1$. By the definition of k in equation (5.6), we derive

$$(5.7) \quad p^k \geq 89C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma q^3.$$

We multiply both sides of $|u_2| \leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma |u_1|$ by $|u_2|$ and use the inequality (5.5) to obtain

$$|u_2|^2 \leq C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma 2q/\sqrt{3}.$$

By the above inequality, definition of B and inequality (5.7), we obtain

$$B = \sqrt{p^k}/(4q|u_2|) - 1 \geq \frac{\sqrt{89}}{4\sqrt{2/\sqrt{3}}} - 1 \geq 1.$$

Hence,

$$B \geq \sqrt{p^k}/(8q|u_2|).$$

Next, we use the above inequality and inequality (5.5) and (5.7) to give a lower bound on AB .

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} AB &\geq \frac{p^k}{32q^2|u_1||u_2|} \\ &\geq \frac{89\sqrt{3}C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma q^3}{64q^3} \\ &> C_\gamma \log(q)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Note that A and B satisfies the condition of Conjecture (1.4). Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, our algorithm returns an integral solution (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) which gives rise to a path of length k from v to the identity. This concludes our theorem. $\square$

Finally, we study the density of distance of diagonal vertex v from the identity. We normalize the associated co-volume q lattice L_v so that they have co-volume 1 and these normalized lattices are parametrized by the Hecke orbit points in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Lattices of co-volume q are associated to the Hecke orbit points of T_q apply to $i \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. The vertices with large distance from the identity vertex in LPS Ramanujan graphs are associated to Hecke orbit points that are high in the cusp of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Since Hecke points are equidistributed in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ with respect to the hyperbolic measure $\frac{1}{y^2}(dx^2 + dy^2)$, the distance of a typical diagonal matrix from the identity vertex is $\log(|X_{p,q}|) + O(\log \log(|X_{p,q}|))$ and there are diagonal points with distance $4/3 \log(|X_{p,q}|) + O(\log \log(|X_{p,q}|))$ from the identity that are associated to Hecke orbit points $x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$ with y as big as q . Moreover, the number of Hecke orbit points that are close to the cups are decaying with y^{-1} that comes from the hyperbolic measure on upper half plane. In Theorem (1.11), we prove the number of diagonal vertices with distance greater than the optimal distance $\log(|X_{p,q}|)$ are exponentially decaying with an exponent that is optimal.

5.2 (Proof of Corollary (1.11)). Let h be as above. By our assumption

$$\lceil 3 \log_p(q) + \gamma \log_p \log(q) + \log_p(C_\gamma) + \log_p(89) \rceil < h,$$

Let v be a diagonal vertex with distance at least h from the identity vertex. Let L_v be the associated lattice of co-volume q and $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be an almost orthogonal basis for L_v . Then by Theorem (1.9), the distance of v from the identity is less than

$$\lceil 4 \log_p(q) - 2 \log_p |u_1| + \log_p(89) \rceil.$$

Therefore,

$$h \leq \lceil 4 \log_p(q) - 2 \log_p |u_1| + \log_p(89) \rceil,$$

Hence,

$$(5.9) \quad |u_1|^2 \leq 89q^4/p^{(h-1)}.$$

Next, we count the number of lattices of co-volume q inside $\mathbb{Z}^2$ such that the length of the shortest vector is smaller than $r \leq (1/2)\sqrt{q}$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a lattice of co-volume q such that L contains a vector of length smaller than $(1/2)\sqrt{q}$. It is easy to check that L contain a unique vector $\pm v := \pm(a, b)$ such it has the shortest length among all vectors inside L . Since q is prime this vector is primitive i.e. $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. On the other hand, the lattice is uniquely determined by $\pm v := \pm(a, b)$, namely L is the set of all integral points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$ax + by \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

Therefore, the problem of counting the lattices of co-volume q with shortest vector smaller than r is reduced to counting the projective primitive integral vectors of length smaller than r . The main term of this counting is

$$(5.10) \quad 1/2\zeta(2)^{-1}\pi r^2 = \frac{3}{\pi}r^2.$$

By inequality (5.9) and (5.10), we deduce that the number of diagonal vertices with graph distance at least h from the identity in LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{p,q}$ is less than

$$89q^4/p^{(h-1)}.$$

This concludes Theorem (1.11). $\square$

6. NUMERICAL RESULTS

6.1. Diagonal approximation with V-gates. In this section, we give our numerical results for finding the shortest path between diagonal elements of LPS Ramanujan graph $X_{5,q}$ where q is a prime number and $q \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{20}$. The LPS generators associated to $p = 5$ are called V-gates. V-gates are the following 6 unitary matrices:

$$V_X^\pm := \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2i \\ 2i & 1 \end{bmatrix}^\pm, \quad V_Y^\pm := \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad V_Z^\pm := \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1+2i & 0 \\ 0 & 1-2i \end{bmatrix}^\pm.$$

Since $q \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{20}$, then square root of -1 and 5 exist mod q and we denote them by $\sqrt{5}$ and i . So, we can realize these matrices inside $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. The Cayley graph of $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with respect to V-gates is a 6-regular LPS Ramanujan graph. We run our algorithm to find the shortest path in V-gates from identity to a given typical diagonal matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a+bi & 0 \\ 0 & a-bi \end{bmatrix} \in PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. By Theorem (1.1), a

path of length m from identity to this diagonal element is associated to the integral solution of the following diophantine equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 &= 5^m \\
 x &\equiv \sqrt{5}^m a \pmod{q}, \\
 y &\equiv \sqrt{5}^m b \pmod{q}, \\
 z &\equiv w \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \\
 x &\equiv 1 \text{ and } y \equiv z \equiv w \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.1}$$

First, our algorithm in Theorem (4.1) finds an integral solution (x, y, z, w) with the least integer m to the equation (6.1). Next from the integral solution (x, y, z, w) it constructs a path in the Ramanujan graph by factoring $x + iy + jz + kw$ into V -gates. Let q be the following prime number with 100 digits:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q &= 6513516734600035718300327211250928237178281758494 \\
 &417357560086828416863929270451437126021949850746381.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the diagonal matrix let

$$a = 23147807431234971203978401278304192730471291281$$

and

$$b = 128471297014216536541234213412341234121234342141234133$$

(6.2)

The first run of our algorithm returns the following global integral lift

$$x + iy + jz + kw$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= -3513686405828860927763754940484616687735954403564689113985383253868329887 \\
 &073895129393123529043092607930187858085249975614142765081986624258530038940271 \\
 y &= 3773156548062114482690557548470637380371201820782668326017207890171886678830 \\
 &601870144317232489264867168831689578223312772963262687237828114002146000356 \\
 z &= 696150282464006603091186089706225565057448347974579991940267012475009315401865 \\
 &6570861892918415809962375271929963309479306543335375368842987498287311268 \\
 w &= 3888519350877870793211628965104035265911619494928178960777970459693109319153422 \\
 &770196318754816019921662119578623310979387405367017752713898473225295568
 \end{aligned}$$

and the associated path in the Ramanujan graph by V -gates is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &VyVz^{-1}VxVzVxVxVzVzVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVzVyVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVz^{-1} \\
 &Vy^{-1}VxVxVzVxVy^{-1}VxVy^{-1}VxVz^{-1}VyVxVzVzVxVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVxVzVzVxVx \\
 &Vz^{-1}VxVxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVyVxVzVyVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1} \\
 &Vx^{-1}VzVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVzVzVzVyVz^{-1}VxVyVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1} \\
 &Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVyVyVyVyVx^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vz
 \end{aligned}$$

$VyVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}VxVxVzVy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVzVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vy$
 $VzVzVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVzVyVyVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVyVxVyVyVzVz$
 $VyVzVxVzVyVzVxVyVz^{-1}VyVx^{-1}VzVxVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVxVy^{-1}VxVyVxVy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}$
 $VzVxVy^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVxVz^{-1}$
 $Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVxVzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}VxVzVx$
 $VxVyVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVzVy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}$
 $VyVxVxVxVy^{-1}VxVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVzVyVzVyVzVzVxVxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}$
 $Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVxVyVxVzVy^{-1}VzVzVyVz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}$
 $VyVzVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVxVyVzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}$
 $Vz^{-1}VxVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVyVx^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz$
 $Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVyVyVx^{-1}VyVyVyVzVyVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVzVzVy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz$
 $VyVzVy^{-1}VxVxVxVy^{-1}VzVzVzVyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVz^{-1}$
 $Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVyVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVxVxVzVxVz^{-1}VxVzVy^{-1}VzVx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}$
 $Vz^{-1}VxVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVxVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVyVzVzVyVxVxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVy$
 $Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVxVz^{-1}$
 $Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVyVxVyVx^{-1}VyVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVzVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVyVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx$

That is a path of size 432. The first candidate that our algorithm gives up to factor has 430 letters. It could be a potential path but this means that the distance is optimal up to two letters. We note that the trivial lower bound for a typical element is

$$3 \log_5(q) = 428.5.$$

6.2. Lower bound on the diameter of LPS Ramanujan graphs. Let $a = 0$, $b = 1$ and

$$q = 65135167346000357183003272112509282371782817584944173575600868284168$$

$$63929270451437126021949850746381$$

The first run of our algorithm returns the following integral lift

$$x = -6272516615419834396723215104434643892402685333430123915330363615765439963887$$

$$444733952359137706268764903$$

$$y = -24283713586550890175218967285901305000874399860503045394604118157259395483209040$$

$$505791431904030448869963574937440222590795758894556572090654531516229117659347110873$$

$$916113105198813192663321647142014078$$

$$z = -26228058626930195138162346639141376658662732810051256999048759164154812210991483$$

$$026859663978595014737287347144141750451011375769925645012708595421642248266783368484$$

$$351853487202752782683148084055500406$$

$$w = 402567645661926477044703054728990692385075090546813308824597863676668592976301988$$

$$44442881115180263988961547389812056279321100550786814357057523891861576577014011498$$

$$55859551440431525980328498412551214$$

Note that since $(a, b) = (0, 1)$ is corresponded to a big hole. The path is of size 571

from the identity to $\begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& VxVy^{-1}VxVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVy^{-1}VzVyVzVzVyVzVxVxVz^{-1}VxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1} \\
& Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVzVyVzVy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVzVzVxVy^{-1}VxVzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVy^{-1}Vz^{-1} \\
& VxVyVxVzVxVzVyVzVzVzVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVyVzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVxVyVxVzVzVy \\
& VzVxVzVyVz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}VxVzVzVx^{-1}VyVzVzVzVyVxVzVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVx \\
& Vy^{-1}VzVy^{-1}VzVyVyVyVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVz^{-1}VyVxVxVyVz^{-1}VxVyVxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy \\
& Vx^{-1}VyVyVz^{-1}VxVxVxVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVz^{-1} \\
& VyVyVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVx^{-1}VyVzVy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVy^{-1}VzVyVxVy \\
& VzVxVxVyVyVzVxVz^{-1}VxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVzVxVyVzVx^{-1}VzVzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1} \\
& Vy^{-1}VxVzVyVzVxVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVyVxVxVzVxVxVxVz^{-1}VxVyVyVz \\
& Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVy^{-1}VzVxVy^{-1}VzVy^{-1}VzVy^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVxVzVzVyVy \\
& Vx^{-1}VzVyVzVxVzVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVzVy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1} \\
& Vz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVyVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx \\
& Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVzVyVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVyVxVxVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVzVyVxVzVxVxVxVz^{-1} \\
& VyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVzVy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVzVyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVzVyVyVz^{-1} \\
& Vy^{-1}VxVxVyVx^{-1}VzVzVzVyVzVzVyVz^{-1}VxVxVy^{-1}VzVyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVy^{-1}VxVy \\
& VyVxVzVxVy^{-1}VxVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVyVxVyVyVx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVyVzVxVxVy^{-1}Vx \\
& Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVx^{-1}VzVyVyVz^{-1}VyVzVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVx \\
& VyVx^{-1}VzVyVyVx^{-1}VyVzVyVzVzVxVxVxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVzVy \\
& Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVzVyVyVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVyVzVy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vy^{-1} \\
& VxVy^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVyVyVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVyVz^{-1}VyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVz^{-1} \\
& Vz^{-1}VxVy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vy^{-1}VxVxVz^{-1}VxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1} \\
& Vx^{-1}VyVyVzVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVx^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVzVyVyVxVyVx \\
& Vy^{-1}VzVyVx^{-1}VyVzVzVx^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVyVyVxVxVz^{-1}VyVzVyVzVyVz^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1} \\
& VyVx^{-1}VyVz^{-1}VxVxVyVzVy^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVxVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VyVyVzVzVzVxVyVzVy^{-1} \\
& Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVzVxVy^{-1}VzVx^{-1}VyVxVxVz^{-1}Vz^{-1}VxVyVyVzVxVy^{-1}Vx^{-1} \\
& Vx^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}Vz^{-1}VyVyVyVyVx^{-1}VzVyVx^{-1}VyVyVxVz^{-1}Vx \\
& Vz^{-1}Vz^{-1}Vx^{-1}VzVyVyVxVzVx^{-1}VyVyVz^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vx^{-1}Vy^{-1}VzVy^{-1}VzVxVy
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$4 \log_5(q) = 571.20.$$

$[4 \log_5(q)]$ is conjectured to be the asymptotic of the diameter of this Ramanujan graph.

REFERENCES

- [AKS04] Manindra Agrawal, Neeraj Kayal, and Nitin Saxena. Primes is in p. *Annals of Mathematics*, 160(2):781–793, 2004.
- [AMM77] Leonard Adleman, Kenneth Manders, and Gary Miller. On taking roots in finite fields. pages 175–178, 1977.
- [Hoo94] Christopher Hooley. On the intervals between numbers that are sums of two squares. IV. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 452:79–109, 1994.
- [Len83] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Integer programming with a fixed number of variables. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 8(4):538–548, 1983.
- [LP16] Eyal Lubetzky and Yuval Peres. Cutoff on all ramanujan graphs. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 26(4):1190–1216, 2016.
- [LPS88] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. *Combinatorica*, 8(3):261–277, 1988.

- [MA76] Kenneth Manders and Leonard Adleman. Np-complete decision problems for quadratic polynomials. In *Proceedings of the Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '76, pages 23–29, New York, NY, USA, 1976. ACM.
- [PLQ08] Christophe Petit, Kristin Lauter, and Jean-Jacques Quisquater. *Full Cryptanalysis of LPS and Morgenstern Hash Functions*, pages 263–277. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [PS17] Ori Parzanchevski and Peter Sarnak. *Super-Golden-Gates for $PU(2)$* , 2017.
- [RS85] J. O. Rabin and Jeffrey Shallit. Randomized algorithms in number theory. Technical report, Chicago, IL, USA, 1985.
- [RS14] N. J. Ross and P. Selinger. Optimal ancilla-free Clifford+T approximation of z-rotations. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.
- [RS17] Igor Rivin and Naser Sardari. *Quantum Chaos on random Cayley graphs*, 2017.
- [Sar15a] N. T Sardari. Optimal strong approximation for quadratic forms. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015.
- [Sar15b] Peter Sarnak. *Letter to Scott Aaronson and Andy Pollington on the Solovay-Kitaev Theorem*, February 2015. <https://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/paper/2637>.
- [Sch85] René Schoof. Elliptic curves over finite fields and the computation of square roots mod p . *Math. Comp.*, 44(170):483–494, 1985.
- [Sha73] Daniel Shanks. Five number-theoretic algorithms. pages 51–70. *Congressus Numerantium*, No. VII, 1973.
- [Sho92] Victor Shoup. Searching for primitive roots in finite fields. *Math. Comp.*, 58(197):369–380, 1992.
- [Tal15] N. Talebizadeh Sardari. Diameter of Ramanujan Graphs and Random Cayley Graphs with Numerics. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2015.
- [Wan61] Yuan Wang. On the least primitive root of a prime. *Sci. Sinica*, 10:1–14, 1961.